Senate, March 18, 1998. The Committee on Labor and Public Employees reported through SEN. PRAGUE, 19th DIST., Chairman of the Committee on the part of the Senate, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

AN ACT CONCERNING CLARIFICATION OF LIABILITY OF THE SECOND INJURY FUND.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

1 Section 31-284b of the general statutes is 2 repealed and the following is substituted in lieu 3 thereof:

In order to maintain, as nearly as (a) 5 possible, the income of employees who suffer 6 employment-related injuries, any employer who 7 provides accident and health insurance or life 8 insurance coverage for any employee or makes 9 payments or contributions at the regular hourly or 10 weekly rate for full-time employees to an employee 11 welfare plan, shall provide to the employee 12 equivalent insurance coverage or welfare plan 13 payments or contributions while the employee is 14 eligible to receive or is receiving compensation 15 pursuant to this chapter, or while the employee is 16 receiving wages under a provision for sick leave 17 payments for est due to an As used in this due time lost 18 employment-related injury. 19 section, "income" means all forms of remuneration 20 to an individual from his employment, including 21 wages, accident and health insurance coverage, 22 life insurance coverage and employee welfare plan 23 contributions and "employee welfare plan" means 24 any plan established or maintained for employees 25 or their families or dependents, or for both, for 26 medical, surgical or hospital care benefits.

- (b) An employer may provide such equivalent accident and health or life insurance coverage or welfare plan payments or contributions by: (1) Insuring his full liability under this section in any stock or mutual companies or associations that are or may be authorized to take such risks in this state; (2) creating an injured employee's plan as an extension of any existing plan for working employees; (3) self-insurance; or (4) by any combination of the methods provided in subdivisions (1) to (3), inclusive, of this subsection that he may choose.
- 39 (c) In the case of an employee welfare plan, 40 an employer may provide equivalent protection by 41 making payments or contributions for such hours of 42 contributions established by the trustees of the 43 employee welfare plan as necessary to maintain 44 continuation of such insurance coverage when the 45 amount is less than the amount of regular hourly 46 or weekly contributions for full-time employees.
- 47 (d) (1) FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SUBSECTION, 48 "INDIVIDUAL" MEANS AN INDIVIDUAL EMPLOYED BY A 49 PUBLIC EMPLOYER WHOSE TOTAL INCAPACITY IS THE 50 RESULT OF AN INJURY SUSTAINED PRIOR TO JULY 1, 51 1995.
- (2) In any case where compensation payments to an individual for total incapacity under the provisions of section 31-307 continue for more than one hundred four weeks, the cost of accident and health insurance or life insurance coverage after the one-hundred-fourth week shall be paid out of the Second Injury Fund in accordance with the provisions of [section 31-349] SUBDIVISION (3) 60 OF THIS SUBSECTION.
- 61 (3) THE COST OF ACCIDENT AND HEALTH INSURANCE 62 OR LIFE INSURANCE COVERAGE REQUIRED UNDER 63 SECTION SHALL BE PAID TO A PUBLIC EMPLOYER AS 64 REIMBURSEMENT OUT OF THE SECOND INJURY FUND 65 THE ONE-HUNDRED-FOURTH WEEK. AS A CONDITION 66 PRECEDENT TO THE LIABILITY OF THE SECOND INJURY 67 FUND, THE PUBLIC EMPLOYER SHALL, NO EARLIER THAN 68 SIXTY DAYS BEFORE THE EXPIRATION 69 ONE-HUNDRED-FOURTH WEEK PERIOD, NOTIFY THE 70 CUSTODIAN OF THE SECOND INJURY FUND THAT

- 71 PAYMENT IS REQUIRED. THE PUBLIC EMPLOYER SHALL 72 ALSO FURNISH TO THE CUSTODIAN ALL INFORMATION 73 SUPPORTING THE CLAIM AS TO THE LIABILITY OF THE 74 SECOND INJURY FUND AND SHALL MAKE AVAILABLE TO THE 75 CUSTODIAN ALL MEDICAL REPORTS AS THE CUSTODIAN 76 SHALL REQUEST. THE FUND'S LIABILITY FOR THE COSTS 77 OF THE COVERAGE SHALL BEGIN SIXTY DAYS AFTER THE 78 DATE THE CUSTODIAN IS NOTIFIED. FAILURE ON 79 PART OF THE PUBLIC EMPLOYER TO COMPLY DOES NOT 80 RELIEVE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYER OF ITS OBLIGATION TO 81 CONTINUE FURNISHING COMPENSATION UNDER THE 82 PROVISIONS OF THIS SECTION.
- 83 (e) Accident and health insurance coverage 84 may include, but shall not be limited to, coverage 85 provided by insurance or directly by the employer 86 for the following health care services: Medical, 87 surgical, dental, nursing and hospital care and 88 treatment, drugs, diagnosis or treatment of mental 89 conditions or alcoholism, and pregnancy and child 90 care.
- 91 LAB COMMITTEE VOTE: YEA 14 NAY 0 JFS

\* \* \* \* \*

"THE FOLLOWING FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT AND BILL ANALYSIS ARE PREPARED FOR THE BENEFIT OF MEMBERS OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY, SOLELY FOR PURPOSES OF INFORMATION, SUMMARIZATION AND EXPLANATION AND DO NOT REPRESENT THE INTENT OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OR EITHER HOUSE THEREOF FOR ANY PURPOSE."

\* \* \* \* \*

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT - BILL NUMBER sSB 277

STATE IMPACT Cost (Second Injury Fund), see

explanation below

MUNICIPAL IMPACT Cost Saving, Cost, see explanation

below

STATE AGENCY(S) Office of the State Treasurer

#### EXPLANATION OF ESTIMATES:

STATE IMPACT: The bill will result in a cost to the Second Injury Fund (SIF) of approximately \$200,000 per year. The fiscal impact is the result of the SIF reimbursing public employers for the cost of continuing after 104 weeks, to provide accident and health insurance or life insurance coverage to employees totally disabled by on-the-job injury prior to 7/1/95. The figure is based on SIF experience prior to October 1995.

The SIF assessments on public and private employers are levied by one of two methods: (1) for insured employers, SIF assessments are based on the employer's insurance premium, and (2) for self insured employers, SIF assessments are based on the losses experienced by the employer. Self-insured municipalities pay SIF assessments based on their losses, and insured municipalities pay based on their premiums. Any increase in SIF liabilities causes an increase in assessments on employers. Thus, the \$200,000 SIF cost in this bill will increase total assessments by \$200,000. For the current year, the total amount assessed by the SIF is \$90 million. (This does not include the effect of this bill.)

MUNICIPAL IMPACT: Since municipalities are required by law to provide continuing coverage for municipal employees injured on the job, all municipalities in total will save approximately \$200,000.

There will also be a cost to municipalites for their share of the additional \$200,000 assessment that will be paid by all employers into the SIF.

\* \* \* \* \*

## OLR BILL ANALYSIS

sSB 277

# AN ACT CONCERNING CLARIFICATION OF LIABILITY OF THE SECOND INJURY FUND

SUMMARY: This bill makes it clear that the Second Injury Fund is liable after 104 weeks to reimburse public employers for the cost of continuing to provide accident and health insurance or life insurance coverage to employees totally disabled by on-the-job injury prior to July 1, 1995.

A 1992 U.S. Supreme Court ruling invalidated state laws mandating such reimbursement to private employers because they were preempted by the Employee Retirement Insurance Security Act (ERISA). The ruling did not affect public employers. In 1995 the General Assembly eliminated the procedure employers must follow to establish the fund's liability for reimbursement of such insurance costs. The bill reinstates the procedure for public employers.

EFFECTIVE DATE: OCTOBER 1, 1998

#### FURTHER EXPLANATION

# Procedure for Establishing Fund Liability

The bill specifies that to qualify for reimbursement, a public employer must notify the fund no more than 60 days before the 104th week, including information supporting the claim and any medical reports the fund may request. The fund's liability to reimburse begins 60 days after notification. Failure to follow this

procedure does not relieve the public employer of its obligation to continue providing insurance coverage to the employee.

### **BACKGROUND**

# Second Injury Fund

The fund is a workers' compensation fund financed by private and public Connecticut employers and operated by the state treasurer. Among other things, the fund pays or contributes to workers' compensation benefits for workers with preexisting disabilities who are reinjured, whose employers are uninsured, or who worked more than one job when injured.

# Connecticut Attorney General's Ruling

In a 1993 opinion to the state treasurer, the attorney general held that the U.S. Supreme Court decision District of Columbia v. Greater Washington Board of Trade invalidates requirements under CGS § 31-284b(d) because of the ERISA preemption. He also pointed out that the decision does not relieve public employers, whose benefit plans are exempt from ERISA, of liability for insurance benefit costs. He stated that the fund remains obligated to reimburse because its liability derives from the public employer's liability.

#### COMMITTEE ACTION

Labor and Public Employees Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute Yea 14 Nay 0